

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. Dex 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/846,490	04/30/2001		Benjamin Niles Eldridge	P6D2-US	5397
27520	7590	07/07/2003			·
FORMFAC			EXAMINER		
LEGAL DEI 2140 RESEA		• • •	ARBES, CARL J		
LIVERMORE, CA 94550				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3729	13
				DATE MAILED: 07/07/2003	(8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					/1.
	<i>`</i>	Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)	
	•	09/846,4	190	ELDRIDGE ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examine	er	Art Unit	
		C. J. Ar		3729	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi r Reply	ication appears on th	ne cover sheet with the	correspondence address	;
A SHO THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any ro	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION is is on time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months all dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e nunication. 0) days, a reply within the sta atutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the ap	event, however, may a reply be to atutory minimum of thirty (30) da will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from aplication to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communi ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>30 April 2001</i>			
2a)□		2b)⊠ This action i	i.		
3)	Since this application is in condition	<i>,</i> —		rosecution as to the me	rite ie
٥,۵	closed in accordance with the pract				7110
Dispositi	on of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 37,38 and 40-55 is/are pen	nding in the applicati	on.		
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar	re withdrawn from co	onsideration.		
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>37, 38 and 40-55</u> is/are reje	ected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or election	requirement.		
Application	on Papers				
9)□ 1	he specification is objected to by the	Examiner.			
10)∐ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b)	objected to by the Exa	miner.	
	Applicant may not request that any obje		•	* , ,	
11)[he proposed drawing correction filed	·		oved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are req		office action.		
·	he oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
• •	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	 Certified copies of the priority of 	documents have be	en received.		
•	2. Certified copies of the priority of	documents have bee	en received in Applicati	on No	
	 Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internate ee the attached detailed Office action 	ational Bureau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a)).	·)
14)∐ Ad	cknowledgment is made of a claim fo	or domestic priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional appli	cation).
	The translation of the foreign land cknowledgment is made of a claim for				ŕ
\ttachment(
) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa		_	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	
			,		

Application/Control Number: 09/846,490

Art Unit: 3729

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 37, 38 and 40-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-69 of prior U.S. Patent No. 5,476,211. This is a double patenting rejection.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 37, 38 and 40-55 are further rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-69of U. S. Patent No. 5,476,211 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming

Application/Control Number: 09/846,490

Art Unit: 3729

common subject matter, as follows: Method of fabricating a contact tip comprising the steps of depositing a layer of conductive material on the surface of a silicon wafer, depositing a layer of a masking material on top of the conductive material, patterning openings in the masking material, depositing at least one layer of at least one conductive material in the openings and removing the masking material, joining tip structures to the ends of the contact structures wherein the cotact structures are resilient and are disposed atop a space transformer of a probe card.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to C. J. Arbes at telephone number (703) 308-1857.

PRIMARY EXAMINER